Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,182	STEPHAN, VOLKER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

All Participants:

(1) *Robert P. Swiatek*.

(2) *Mr. Timothy J. Klima*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *31 August 2005*

Time: --

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible 35 USC 112, paragraph two

Claims discussed:
28, 30-34, 37-39, 42, 43

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative authorized amendments to the above claims to clarify that the "fluid" being referred to is actually --fluid flow--. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)